1. **Title:** Real World Effectiveness of Switching between tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) in Psoriatic Arthritis – EXCHANGE PsA

2. **Background**

The development of tumor necrosis factor inhibitors (TNFi) therapies lead to a dramatic improvement in the management of Psoriatic Arthritis (PsA). TNF acts in the early stages of the inflammatory cascade by stimulating T-cell activation and induces the expression of interleukin 2 (IL-2), interferon gamma (IFNγ) receptors, proinflammatory cytokines (like interleukin 1 and IL-2) and proinflammatory chemokines (like interleukin 8) (1). More recently IL-23/IL-17 has also been largely implicated in the pathogenesis of psoriasis (PsO) and PsA. In the literature we can find abundant evidence on the efficacy of TNFi and therapeutic agents blocking IL12/23 and IL17 in the treatment of patients with PsA (2-5). Their role in the management of PsA is also recognized by the European League Against Rheumatism (EULAR) recommendations (6) and in the National Guidelines endorsed by the Portuguese Society of Rheumatology (7). Despite the effectiveness of these agents, there is still a significant proportion of patients that do not respond to and/or do not tolerate treatment (8-10). Clinical recommendations suggest that switching between TNFi when faced with lack of efficacy and/or toxicity should be considered (6-7), although real world research shows reduced drug survival rates and poorer responses after switching (8-10). Considering that predominant physiopathologic pathways might vary between patients, changing patients to drugs with different mechanisms of action could bring additional benefits aiming at more personalized decisions.

**Study rational**

Given that there is limited evidence from clinical trials on the efficacy of switching to another TNFi when faced with lack of efficacy and/or toxicity, and that there is lack of Portuguese data on the real world effectiveness of this practice, it is crucial that new
research is undertaken to generate additional evidence on this field. The results from this work will contribute to clarify the rates of response after first TNFi switching in PsA Portuguese population and assess drug survival in this context.

3. Study hypothesis

- The effectiveness of TNFi, measured by drug survival and response rates within a period of 4 years of treatment, is reduced in patient with previous TNFi exposure.

3.1 Objectives

Assess the effectiveness measured by drug survival and response rates within a period of 4 years of treatment in patients with PsA treated with TNFi, according to the number and type of previous DMARDs. Investigate frequency and main reasons for switching between TNFi in Portuguese patients with PsA diagnosis registered at Reuma.pt.

Primary objective

Assess drug survival rates in patients treated with a first TNFi.

Secondary objectives

- Assess drug survival rates in patients treated with a second and third or more TNFi;
- Identify reasons for treatment discontinuation (loss of effectiveness, adverse event, remission, other) in patients treated with a first, second and third or more TNFi;
- Assess treatment response rates in patients treated with a first, second and third or more TNFi.
• Determine predictors of persistence of TNFi;
• Determine predictors of response to TNFi

**Primary endpoints**

• Drug survival rate for a period of 4 years of treatment in patients treated with a first TNFi;

**Secondary endpoints**

• Drug survival rate for a period of 4 years of treatment in patients treated with second, third or more TNFi;
• Reasons for switching (loss of effectiveness, adverse event, remission and other) at 3, 6 and 12 months and every year of treatment thereafter;
• Response rate measured by DAS28 responses (good EULAR responses), ACR responses (20/50/70), PsARC response, PsAJAI, ΔHAQ-DI and ΔSF-36 responses at 3, 6 and 12 months and every year of treatment thereafter, for patients with peripheral involvement;
• Minimal disease activity rates as defined by the minimal disease activity (MDA) index
• Response rate for axial disease measured by ASDAS (ΔASDAS≥1,1), BASDAI (ΔBASDAI≥50% or ΔBASDAI>2) at 3, 6, and 12 months and every year of treatment thereafter, for patients with axial involvement;

**4. Methodology**

This is a retrospective non-interventional study of patients with diagnosis of PsA using real world anonymous patient-level data from the Reuma.pt database. Electronic clinical records will be retrieved for all patients that fulfill the study inclusion criteria.
Reuma.pt (www.reuma.pt), the Rheumatic Diseases Portuguese Register, became active in 2008 and includes patients with varied rheumatic diseases (rheumatoid arthritis, spondyloarthritis, psoriatic arthritis, juvenile idiopathic arthritis, systemic lupus erythematosus, vasculitis and auto-inflammatory syndromes). Currently more than 70 centers (public and private) participate in the registry.

**Definitions**

Drug survival is defined as the time until treatment discontinuation.

Discontinuation is defined as either one of the following events:

- **End of treatment** – 90-day continuous gap of treatment without a posterior biological treatment;
- **Switch** – occurrence of any switch to another biological agent.
- **Temporary discontinuations** of <90 days (which is common for surgery or certain adverse events, for example, infection), after which the patient restarted the same biological agent, are counted as continuous use of the drug.
## Variables

Table 1. Variables to be collected

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables to be collected</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline patient characteristics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Demographic and clinical characteristics (gender, age, education, smoking, alcohol consumption, BMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Date of first symptoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Date of diagnosis of PsA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Subtype of PsA and type of involvement (peripheral, entesetal and axial) and/or axial involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• HLAB27, RF, ACPA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Time from diagnosis to 1st DMARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Time from diagnosis to 1st bMARD,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of dactylitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of enthesitis, MASES and SPAARC scores</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of extra-articular manifestations (PsO, uveitis, IBD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Presence of comorbidities (obesity, diabetes, CVD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DAS28, HAQ-DI, PASDAS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BASDAI, ASDAS, BASFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• VAS patient/pain/physician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• CRP; ESR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Comorbidities (hypertension, dyslipidemia, cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, malignancies, lymphomas, uveitis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PASI score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PsA therapy (cs and DMARD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At follow-up (to be collected for each biological DMARD used per patient, at 3, 6 and 12 months and every year thereafter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Biological DMARD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Starting date of treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Dose used</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Frequency of administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Concomitant treatment during biologic therapy (csDMARDs, corticosteroids, NSAIDs, others)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• DAS28, HAQ-DI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ACR 20/50/70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PsARC response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• PsAJAI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BASDAI, ASDAS, BASFI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• ASAS 20/40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• VAS patient/pain/physician</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Statistical analysis:**

Drug survival will be assessed by Kaplan-Meier survival analysis.

**Data source:**

This study will use secondary data collection from the Reuma.pt database (no data on patient identification will be collected).

**Population:**

**Target population:** Patients with diagnosis of PsA (peripheral or axial) registered in the Reuma.pt database exposed to TNFi treatment.

The study will include all patients identified as PsA patients that fulfill the following inclusion criteria:

- Age ≥ 18 years old;
- Confirmed diagnosis of PsA according CASPAR criteria
- Register of at least 1 prescription of TNFi;
- Minimum set of data that can be used to assess treatment response, switch and drug survival.
Patients that do not fulfill the inclusion criteria will be excluded from the study.

Sample size justification:
The 2015 Reuma.pt annual report (11) had 1,355 patients registered with PsA diagnosis, and 530 bDMARDs.

Expanding the scope of the analysis
It is expected that the use of other bDMARDs in PsA will increase in the future. Although there is evidence from RCTS on the efficacy of these drugs there is still a need to generate data on the effectiveness of the bDMARD on everyday practice.

Expanding the scope of the analysis to include these patients would provide an added value to the study and would generate valuable evidence on the real world effectiveness of these drugs.

5. Study limitations
This is a retrospective non-interventional study using patient-level data from a database. The main limitation associated to the study methodology is the existence of missing data that could lead to bias.

6. Calendar
It is estimated that it will take 12 months to extract the data, perform the statistical analysis, quality check the calculations and results, and prepare the study final report.
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